were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Huemoeller. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

The present invention of amended independent Claims 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 19, and 21 searches for a pending task when an ending instruction is received from a user for instructing an information processing apparatus to terminate a use of the apparatus by the user. The pending task may be one that is to be performed by the user within a predetermined timeframe, one that is relevant to an inferred destination of the user based on the user's schedule, or one that is relevant to a person with whom the user is scheduled to meet based on the user's schedule. As a result, when the user inputs an ending instruction to terminate use of the apparatus, such as a log-out instruction, they can be reminded of upcoming tasks pending in their schedule.

With specific reference to the claims, amended independent Claim 5 is an information processing apparatus comprising a receiver that receives an ending instruction from a user for instructing the apparatus to terminate a use of the apparatus by the user, a search unit that searches for a pending task to be performed by the user within a predetermined timeframe when the receiver receives the ending instruction from the user, and a notifier that notifies the user of the pending task to be performed within the predetermined timeframe when the pending task is found by the search unit.

Amended independent Claims 15 and 21 are method and computer medium claims, respectively, that substantially correspond to Claim 5.

Amended independent Claim 7 is an information processing apparatus comprising a receiver that receives an ending instruction from a user for instructing the

apparatus to terminate a use of the apparatus by the user, an inferring unit that infers a destination of the user based on a user's schedule when the receiver receives the ending instruction from the user, a search unit that searches for a pending task relevant to the inferred destination of the user when the receiver receives the ending instruction from the user, and a notifier that notifies the user of the pending task relevant to the destination of the user.

Amended independent Claim 17 is a method claim substantially corresponding to Claim 7.

Amended independent Claim 9 is an information processing apparatus comprising a receiver that receives an ending instruction form a user for instructing the apparatus to terminate a use of the apparatus by the user, an inferring unit that infers a person with whom the user is scheduled to meet based on a user's schedule when the receiver receives the ending instruction from the user, a search unit that searches for a pending task relevant to the person with whom the user is scheduled to meet when the receiver receives the ending instruction from the user, and a notifier that notifies the user of the pending task relevant to the person with whom the user is scheduled to meet.

Amended independent Claim 19 is a method claim substantially corresponding to Claim 9.

The applied art is not seen to disclose or to suggest the features of independent Claims 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 19 and 21. More particularly, the applied art is not seen to disclose or to suggest at least the feature of searching for a pending task when an ending instruction is received from a user to terminate a use of an apparatus by the user, where the

pending task is one to be performed within a predetermined timeframe (Claims 5, 15 and 21), one that is relevant to a destination of the user that is inferred from a user's schedule (Claims 7 and 17), or one that is relevant to a person with whom the user is scheduled to meet that is inferred from the user's schedule (Claims 9 and 19), and notifying the user of the pending task.

Huemoeller is seen to disclose a scheduling system in which data representative of information required by the user to schedule an activity is temporally stored. When a user wants to add an activity to their schedule, such as public entertaining events, ticket information that may be needed by the user to schedule the event is displayed so the user can obtain tickets to the event. Thus, a link is present between an event to be scheduled and other information that may be needed by the user to schedule the event. However, nothing in Huemoeller is seen to disclose or to suggest that, when a user inputs an ending instruction to terminate a use of an information processing apparatus by the user (e.g., a log-out instruction), a search is performed for a pending task to be performed by the user, or that the user is notified of the pending task that is searched. Moreover, nothing has been found in Huemoeller in which the pending task is one that is to be performed within a predetermined timeframe (Claims 5, 15 and 21), one that is relevant to a destination of the user that is inferred from a user's schedule (Claims 7 and 17), or one that is relevant to a person with whom the user is scheduled to meet that is inferred from the user's schedule (Claims 9 and 19). Therefore, amended independent Claims 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 19 and 21 are not believed to be anticipated by Huemoeller.

Independent Claims 23, 28 and 32 also concern notifying a user of a pending task, but the notification is performed when a new task is added to a schedule. According the invention, when a new task is added to the schedule, a search is performed for a previously scheduled pending task relevant to the new task being added, and the user is then notified of the pending task. As a result, whenever a schedule is modified to add a new task, the user is reminded of previously scheduled pending tasks associated with (relevant to) the added task. Referring specifically to the claims, independent Claim 23 is an information processing apparatus comprising a schedule storage, for storing a plurality of pending tasks, an entry adder, that adds a new task to the schedule storage, a search unit that searches the schedule storage for a previously scheduled pending task relevant to the new task when the entry adder adds the new task, and a notifier that notifies a user of the pending task relevant to the new task.

Amended independent Claims 28 and 32 are method and computer medium claims, respectively, that substantially correspond to Claim 23.

The applied art is not seen to disclose or to suggest the features of amended independent Claims 23, 28 and 32. More particularly, the applied art is not seen to disclose or to suggest at least the feature of searching a schedule storage for a previously scheduled pending task relevant to a new task when the new task is added to a schedule storage, and notifying a user of the pending task relevant to the new task.

The Office Action took the position that Huemoeller's column 2, lines 12 to 16 allegedly discloses searching for a pending task relevant to a new task being added to a schedule. However, this portion of Huemoeller merely states that, when a user is

- 13 -

scheduling a new activity, such as a public entertainment event, various information needed to schedule the activity is presented to the user (e.g., a listing of ticket prices, seating chart, etc.). Thus, when the user is adding the new activity, the user is presented with additional information to assist in adding the activity. The additional information is not, however, a previously scheduled task and is not a previously scheduled pending task that is relevant to the new task being added. Therefore, amended independent Claims 23, 28 and 32 are not believed to be anticipated by Huemoeller.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, amended independent Claims 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 28 and 32, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, are believed to be allowable.

No other matters having been raised, the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-22
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 74074v1